

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/674,855	BEALL ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Carlos Lopez	1731	

All Participants:

(1) Carlos Lopez.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(2) Svetlana Short.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2004

Time: 3pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

23,30-32

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was noted to applicant's representative that it was not clear to what liquidus temperature was being referred to in claim 23. Additionally, it was noted to applicant that the term "said crystallinity" lacked antecedent basis and that it was not clear if the term "crystallinity" was being referred to the formed glass-ceramic or to the glass composition. Applicant agreed to cancel claim 43 as being to a distinct invention.